UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,918	01/03/2005	Gavriel J. Iddan	P-4877-US	2690
49443 7590 01/04/2008 PEARL COHEN ZEDEK LATZER, LLP 1500 BROADWAY 12TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			FOREMAN, JONATHAN M	
NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		60			
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		IDDAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	10/519,918				
omoo nodon odiniidi y	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this	Jonathan ML Foreman	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 O	otobor 2007				
	action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>		secution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
ologica in apportunition with the provider and of 2	A parto Quayro, 1000 0.5. 11, 10				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 38-47 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 38-47 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/9/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 39 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0106849 to Cho et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,939,823 to Kaye et al.

In regard to claims 39 – 47, Cho et al. disclose a swallowable imaging device for collecting in vivo images and in vivo pressure data including a housing having an optical dome (20), a shell; an imaging system (30) enclosed in the housing behind the optical dome; a pressure gauge (100); and a transmitter (50) to transmit in vivo pressure data. The imaging system includes and imager, illumination elements (42, 44) to illuminate and in vivo area and an optical element to focus reflected light onto the imager [0020]. The optical dome is a barrier to body fluids. Cho et al. disclose a pressure sensor but fail to disclose the sensor including a pliant sleeve surrounding the shell, the

pliant sleeve defining a space between the shell and the sleeve, the space being filled with a dielectric liquid; and a pressure gauge immersed in the dielectric liquid. Kaye et al. disclose a device for collecting in vivo pressure data including a pliant sleeve surrounding a shell, the pliant sleeve defining a space between the shell and the sleeve, the space being filled with a dielectric liquid; and a pressure gauge immersed in the dielectric liquid (Col. 2, lines 47 – 65). The pressure gauge is attached to the shell and to the sleeve via the shell. The claims would have been obvious because the substitution of one known element for another would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Because both Cho et al. and Kay et al. teach in vivo pressure measuring devices, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to substitute one pressure measuring sensor for the other to achieve the predictable results of obtaining better pressure measurements within internal body cavities (Col. 1, lines 46 – 48).

4. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0106849 to Cho et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,939,823 to Kaye et al.

In regard to claim 38, Cho et al. disclose a method for collecting and displaying in vivo data from a GI tract including measuring the GI track hydrostatic pressure [0018]; collecting image data by a swallowable imaging device to produce an image of the GI tract and displaying the image. Cho et al. disclose simultaneously transmitting and displaying image data and pressure data [0023]. Cho et al. fails to disclose measuring the hydrostatic pressure in a pliant sleeve filled with fluid surrounding the shell of the imaging device. Kaye et al. disclose measuring hydrostatic pressure in a pliant sleeve surrounding the shell of the device (Col. 2, lines 47 – 65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the shell of the

swallowable imaging device as taught by Cho et al. to include a pliant sleeve from which to measure the hydrostatic pressure as taught by Kaye et al. in order to obtain better pressure measurements within internal body cavities (Col. 1, lines 46 - 48).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan ML Foreman whose telephone number is (571)272-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Methodersy

10/519,918 Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MLF